

FOUNDER EDITOR

U.K. BHARGAVA

EDITOR

RAKESH BHARGAVA

HONY. COORDINATING EDITORS

**DR. VINOD K. SINGHANIA
AND VINAY JAIN**

Taxman weekly comes in Eight volumes.

Annual Subscription : Rs. 7500 for Eight volumes for the year 2016. Single copy is Rs. 150 only.

Back years' volume Rs. 500 per volume for paper back and add Rs. 75 per volume for Hard case binding.

Taxman weekly is published on every Saturday. NON-RECEIPT OF PART MUST BE NOTIFIED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE DUE DATE.

Editor does not necessarily agree with the views expressed in magazine section of Taxman weekly.

Material published in this part is the exclusive copyrighted property of Taxman and cannot be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means without written permission of publisher.

This publication is sold with the understanding that authors/editors and publishers are not responsible for the result of any action taken on the basis of this work nor for any error or omission to any person, whether a purchaser of this publication or not. All disputes are subject to jurisdiction of the Delhi High Court.

Address your editorial and subscription correspondence to **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd., 59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110005**

Printed and Published by **Amit Bhargava** on behalf of **Taxmann Allied Services (P.) Ltd.** and Printed at **Tan Prints (India) Pvt. Ltd., 44 Km. Mile Stone, National Highway, Rohtak Road, Village Rohad, Distt. Jhajjar (Haryana)** and Published at **59/32, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi-110 005**

Editor : Rakesh Bhargava

Phone : 91-11-45562222

Fax : 91-11-45577111

Email:sales@taxmann.com

ISSN : 0972-8198

MODE OF CITATION

[2016] 237 Taxman...(..)

TOTAL PAGES [152]

C O N T E N T S

STATUTES

❑ CIRCULAR

- Section 92CC of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Transfer pricing - Advance Pricing Agreement (APA) - CBDT Signs two bilateral Advance Pricing Agreements (APAs) with United Kingdom - PRESS RELEASE, DATED 1-2-2016 28

❑ NOTIFICATIONS

- Section 282 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Service of notice generally - Procedure, formats and standards for ensuring secured transmission of Electronic Communication - NOTIFICATION NO. 2/2016 [DGIT(S)/DIT(S)-3/AST/PAPERLESS ASSESSMENT PROCEEDINGS/96/2015-16], DATED 3-2-2016 24
- Section 35AC of the Income-tax Act, 1961 - Eligible projects or schemes, expenditure on - Notified eligible projects or schemes 29

❑ RULES/AMENDMENT RULES

- Gold Monetisation Scheme, 2015 comprising of revamped gold deposit scheme and revamped gold metal loan scheme linked together - NOTIFICATION F.NO.20/6/2015-FT(PT.7), DATED 3-2-2016 21

TAX REPORTS : TABLE OF CASES

- ◆ CIT v. Hemraj Mahabir Prasad Ltd. (SC) 379
- ◆ CIT v. Jai Laxmi Rice Mills Ambala City (SC) 375
- ◆ CIT v. S. Goyanka Lime & Chemical Ltd. (SC) 378
- ◆ CIT v. Sunny Sounds (P.) Ltd. (Bom.) 295
- ◆ CIT v. Textile Dye Chem Corporation (Mad.) 354
- ◆ Giridhar G. Yadalam v. CWT (SC) 392
- ◆ Honda Siel Power Products Ltd. v. Dy. CIT (Delhi) 304
- ◆ Olwin Tiles (India) (P.) Ltd. v. Dy. CIT (Guj.) 342
- ◆ Principal CIT v. Toll Global Forwarding India (P.) Ltd. (Delhi) 326

- ◆ Principal CIT v. Vivek Prahladbhai Patel (Guj.) **331**
- ◆ Punjab State Co-op. Agri. Dev. Bank Ltd. v. CIT (SC) **373**
- ◆ State Bank of Patiala, Through General Manager v. CIT (SC) **380**
- ◆ Unitech Ltd. v. Union of India (SC) **361**

SUBJECT INDEX

BUSINESS EXPENDITURE

■ Allowability of

- *Commission* - Where assessee claimed deduction in respect of commission paid to various agents, matter was to be remanded back to Assessing Officer for disposal afresh after finding out identity of agents and genuineness of payments by utilising his power under section 131 - *CIT v. Textile Dye Chem Corporation (Mad.)* **354**

CASH CREDITS

- *Share capital* - Assessing Officer could initiate reassessment proceedings taking a view that having regard to net worth of assessee-company, huge premium received by it on issue of share capital represented unexplained cash credit which escaped assessment to tax - *Olwin Tiles (India) (P.) Ltd. v. Dy. CIT (Guj.)* **342**

CIRCULARS & NOTIFICATIONS/INSTRUCTIONS

- Instruction No. 2/2005, dated 24-10-2005 **379**
- Instruction No. 5, dated 10-7-2014 **295**
- Circular No. 21/2015, dated 10-12-2015 **295**

FILING OF APPEAL OR APPLICATION FOR REFERENCE BY INCOME-TAX AUTHORITIES

- *Circular No. 21/2015* - Circular No. 21/2015, dated 10-12-2015 is applicable to pending reference also where tax effect is less than Rs. 20 lakhs - *CIT v. Sunny Sounds (P.) Ltd. (Bom.)* **295**
- *Quantum of tax effect* - In view of fact that Department had not filed appeal even before High Court in a similar case, Revenue's appeal before Supreme Court in instant case had to be dismissed as issue involved low tax effect; however, question of law was left open - *CIT v. Hemraj Mahabir Prasad Ltd. (SC)* **379**

INCOME ESCAPING ASSESSMENT

■ Sanction for issue of notice

- *Recording of satisfaction* - SLP dismissed against High Court's ruling that where Joint Commissioner recorded satisfaction in mechanical manner and without application of mind to accord sanction for issuing notice under section 148, reopening of assessment was invalid - *CIT v. S. Goyanka Lime & Chemical Ltd. (SC)* **378**

INCOME FROM CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES

- *Reassessment* - Where reassessment order passed by Assessing Officer denying deduction under section 80P to assessee-bank was disallowed by Commissioner (Appeals) as well as Tribunal, special leave petition filed by assessee against High Court's order allowing reassessment proceeding, became infructuous - *Punjab State Co-op. Agri. Dev. Bank Ltd. v. CIT (SC)* **373**

INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961

- Section 37(1) **354**
- Section 68 **342**

- Section 69 **331**
- Section 80P **373**
- Section 92C **305, 326**
- Section 151 **378**
- Section 268A **295, 379**
- Section 269UD **361**
- Section 271E **375**

INTEREST-TAX ACT, 1974

■ Interest

- *Delayed payment of bills of exchange* - Interest payable on default in payment of amounts due under a discounted bill of exchange being a compensation amount received by bank for delayed payment of bills of exchange, is not chargeable as interest under Interest-tax Act - *State Bank of Patiala, Through General Manager v. CIT (SC)* **380**
- *Guarantee fee/Service fee* - Guarantee fees paid to Deposit Insurance and Credit Guarantee Corporation cannot be included in definition of interest - *State Bank of Patiala, Through General Manager v. CIT (SC)* **380**

INTEREST-TAX ACT, 1974

- Section 2(7) **380, 381**

PENALTY

■ For failure to comply with section 269T

- *Recording of satisfaction* - Where pursuant to directions issued by Commissioner (Appeals), Assessing Officer passed a fresh assessment order wherein no satisfaction was recorded for initiating penalty proceedings under section 271E, impugned penalty order passed under said section deserved to be set aside - *CIT v. Jai Laxmi Rice Mills Ambala City (SC)* **375**

PURCHASE OF IMMOVABLE PROPERTY BY CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

■ Order by appropriate authority

- *Lease* - Where 'V' Engineering, holding leasehold right in plot of land, entered into development agreement with assessee a developer, said transfer of rights in land did not amount to any sale, exchange or lease of such land under provisions of Chapter XXC, because 'V' Engineering itself was only a lessee and, therefore, pre-emptive purchase order passed under section 269UD in respect of land in question was to be set aside - *Unitech Ltd. v. Union of India (SC)* **361**

TRANSFER PRICING

■ Computation of arm's length price

- *Comparables and adjustments/Adjustments - AMP expenses* - Bright Line Test (BLT) is not a valid method for either determining existence of international transaction or for determination of ALP of such transaction - *Honda Siel Power Products Ltd. v. Dy. CIT (Delhi)* **304**
- *Comparables and adjustments/Method of computation-TNMM v. CUP Method* - CUP method followed by assessee, a logistic service provider, was appropriate particularly when price determination for all business associates, whether associated enterprises or independent enterprises, was on same terms and as per same business model and profit sharing ratio of transaction between assessee and AEs was not different from that with a third

party, viz., 50:50 in this field of business activity - *Principal CIT v. Toll Global Forwarding India (P.) Ltd.* (Delhi) **326**

UNEXPLAINED INVESTMENTS

- *Land* - Where Assessing Officer on basis of an agreement to sell seized from one 'S' and statement of 'S' recorded under section 131 made additions in crores under section 69 to income of assessee and Tribunal upheld addition to extent of Rs. 11 lakhs, since revenue had failed to bring on record any evidence to prove that assessee had made actual investment in crores, order of Tribunal deserved to be upheld - *Principal CIT v. Vivek Prahladbhai Patel* (Guj.) **331**

WEALTH-TAX ACT, 1957**■ Assets**

- *Urban land* - In terms of clause (ii) of *Explanation 1(b)* to section 2(ea)(v), benefit of exemption in respect of urban vacant land is available only when building is fully constructed with approval of appropriate authority and not when construction activity has merely started - *Giridhar G. Yadalam v. CWT(S)* **392**

WEALTH-TAX ACT, 1957

- Section 2(ea) **392**

WORDS AND PHRASES

- 'Loans and advances' as occurring in section 2(7) of the Interest-tax Act, 1974 **381**